

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 BEE YANG

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-417 JAM CHS P

12 vs.

13 CATHY MENDOZA-POWERS,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On February 4, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither
23 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the findings and
25 recommendations were returned as undeliverable, this court notes that he was properly served. It
26 is the petitioner's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times.

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully
2 effective.

3 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
4 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
5 ORDERED that:

6 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 4, 2009 are adopted in full;
7 and

8 2. Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED.

9 DATED: April 29, 2009

10
11 /s/ John A. Mendez

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26